Testing of Demographic effects in an

Operational Live Facial Recognition Video System

Metropolitan Police Service - UK



Outline

- Brief background to use of Live Facial Recognition (LFR) by the Met
- Why testing for demographic differential performance is important
- Demographic differential testing in an operational (LFR) context
- Test Strategy
 - Subjects
 - Performance Metrics
 - Environmental Factors
- Standards





Brief Background of LFR by the Met







Brief Background of LFR by the Met



Brief Background of LFR by the Met

SUMMARY OF TACTICAL OUTCOMES	
Number of deployments	10
Combined duration of deployments	Approx. 69 hours
Watchlist size	Ranging from 42 to 2401
Recognition opportunities (number of people appearing video)	Approx. 180,000
Number of people engaged by a police officer following alert by the facial recognition system	27
Number of alerts confirmed correct at engagement	10
Actions / Arrests as result of alert	9

https://www.met.police.uk/SysSiteAssets/media/downloads/central/advice/met/facial-recognition/met-evaluation-report.pdf





Testing for Demographic Differential Performance



Boston bans police from using facial recognition technology

NEWS

Court of Appeal warns of race bias in facial recognition technology

IBM Abandons Facial Recognition Products, Condemns Racially Biased Surveillance

June 9, 2020 · 8:04 PM ET

Amazon Suspends Police Use of Its Facial-Recognition Technology

Move comes after IBM said it was curtailing its facial-recognition activities amid widespread concerns about bias

Big Brother is not only watching you he's identifying you.





Testing for Demographic Differential Performance







Testing in Operational Context

- A recent NIST report demonstrated a uniform distribution of scores across different demographics from the NEC-3 algorithm, however, the report clearly states that '*it is incumbent upon the* system owner to know their algorithm'.
- It is not feasible to run a bench test to assess the demographic differential of the M30 algorithm in the context of Live Facial Recognition, as it is not possible to generate the number of biometric transactions required or the variability of conditions.
- The NIST report does not examine the effect of demographics on the acquisition of facial images, which is an important factor in operational live facial recognition.



Demographic Differential Test Strategy

The MPS will work in conjunction with the National Physical Laboratory to collect data over a series of operational deployments in order to run off line tests to measure demographic differential performance









Demographic Differential Test Strategy

Factors (and challenges) to Consider

Subjects

Demographic make up of test subjects Demographic make up of subjects on the Watchlist Demographic make up of 'the crowd'

Performance metrics

Failure to detect rate False Positive Identification Rate False Negative Identification Rate

Environment

Do conditions (light/rain/other) impact on performance





Factors & Challenges to consider - Subjects

Demographic make up of test subjects

200 Subjects 50 IC1 Male 50 IC1 Female 50 IC3 Male 50 IC3 Female Subject ID Sex: Male/Female IC Code: 1/3 Age Height

Demographic make up of 'the crowd' Not a trivial task Will have to be estimated

Demographic make up of subjects on the Watchlist Self defined ethnicity May not easily fit into demographic test categories



Factors & Challenges to consider - Performance

Failure To Detect Rate





Factors & Challenges to consider - Performance

False Positive Identification Rate

 $FPIR(N,T) = \frac{Num. recognition opportunities of subjects not on the watchlist that generate an alert}{Num. recognition opportunities of subjects not on the watchlist}$

Need to aim for at least 30,000 recognition opportunities across the deployments

How do you measure the total number of recognition opportunities for subjects not on the watchlist?



Factors & Challenges to consider - Performance

False Negative Identification Rate

 $FNIR(N,T) = \frac{Num. recognition opportunities by subjects on the watchlist not generating a correct alert. Num. recognition opportunities by subjects on watchlist$

How do you Ground Truth the total number of recognition opportunities for subjects on the watchlist?





Factors & Challenges to consider - Environmental













DIS ISO/IEC 19795-1:2020 Information technology – Biometrics performance testing and reporting – Part 1: Principles and Framework

ISO/IEC 19795-2:2007 Information technology - Biometric performance testing and reporting, Part 2: Testing methodologies for technology and scenario evaluation

ISO/IEC 19795-6: 2012 Information technology – Biometrics performance testing and reporting – Part 6: Testing methodologies for operational evaluation

ISO/IEC 30137-1: Information technology -- Use of biometrics in video surveillance systems – Part 1: System design and specification

CD ISO/IEC 30137-2: Information technology – Use of biometrics in video surveillance systems – Part 2: Performance testing and reporting

